arXiv:1301.2686v1 [hep-th] 12 Jan 2013

Phantom without ghost

Shin'ichi Nojiri[1,2] and Emmanuel N. Saridakis[3,4]
[1] *Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan*
[2] *Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute for the Origin of Particles and the Universe, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan*
[3] *Physics Division, National Technical University of Athens, 15780 Zografou Campus, Athens, Greece*
[4] *Instituto de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Casilla 4950, Valparaíso, Chile*

The Nine-Year WMAP results combined with other cosmological data seem to indicate an enhanced favor for the phantom regime, comparing to previous analyses. This behavior, unless reversed by future observational data, suggests to consider the phantom regime more thoroughly. In this work we provide three modified gravitational scenarios in which we obtain the phantom realization without the appearance of ghosts degrees of freedom, which plague the naive approaches on the subject, namely the Brans-Dicke type gravity, the scalar-Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity, and the $F(R)$ gravity, which are moreover free of perturbative instabilities. The phantom regime seems to favor the gravitational modification instead of the universe-content alteration.

PACS numbers: 95.36.+x, 98.80.Cq, 04.50.Kd

I. INTRODUCTION

The recently announced Nine-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) results [1] indicate that the Equation of State (EoS) parameter of the dark energy $w_{\rm DE}$, which is defined by the ratio of the pressure $p_{\rm DE}$ and the energy density $\rho_{\rm DE}$ of the dark energy, $w_{\rm DE} \equiv p_{\rm DE}/\rho_{\rm DE}$, might be less than -1. In particular, combined data from WMAP+eCMB+BAO+H_0+SNe lead to

$$w_{\rm DE0} = -1.17^{+0.13}_{-0.12} \tag{1}$$

for a non-constant $w_{\rm DE}$ ($w_{\rm DE} = w_{\rm DE0} + w_a(1-a)$ [2, 3]), in a flat universe, at 68% confidence level. Note that the Seven-year WAMP+BAO+SNe results had correspondingly given $w_{\rm DE0} = -0.93^{+0.12}_{-0.12}$ [4].

Similarly, for a constant $w_{\rm DE}$ in a flat universe, the Nine-Year WMAP+eCMB+BAO+H_0+SNe data lead to

$$w_{\rm DE} = -1.084^{+0.063}_{-0.063}\,. \tag{2}$$

This is the improved constraint, following the corresponding ones of $w_{\rm DE} = -0.992^{+0.061}_{-0.062}$ (WMAP+BAO +SNe) of the Five-year WAMP results [5], and of $w_{\rm DE} = -0.98^{+0.053}_{-0.053}$ (WMAP+BAO+SNe) of the Seven-year WAMP results [4].

Observing the above sequence of results, we deduce that the increasing statistics, as well as the increased combinations of data, seem to lead to a small tendency towards the increasing favoring for the phantom regime. This can be also observed from the corresponding sequence of results for a non-flat universe, as well as from different data combinations.

On the other hand, the standard ΛCDM model gives, of course, $w_{\rm DE} = -1$, and models of canonical scalar fields lead to $w_{\rm DE} > -1$ [6]. If one desires to generate the $w_{\rm DE} < -1$ regime in a scalar field theory in the context of General Relativity he/she needs a ghost scalar, which leads to several inconsistencies, especially at the quantum level. However, the above discussion suggests that we should look at the phantom regime more thoroughly, since eventually it may be the present state of the universe. In this letter we summarize briefly the consistent scenarios of describing the phantom regime, without the need of ghost degrees of freedom.

II. SCALAR FIELD THEORY AS A MODEL OF DARK ENERGY

For completeness, let us very briefly review on a scalar field ϕ with a potential $V(\phi)$ as a scenario of dynamical dark energy [7, 8]. Such a paradigm results from a scalar action of the form

$$S = \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} R - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - V(\phi) \right\} , \tag{3}$$

and the dark energy sector is attributed to the scalar field. In particular, in a spatially flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) geometry

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 \sum_{i=1,2,3} \left(dx^i\right)^2 , \tag{4}$$

the scalar field energy density ρ_ϕ and pressure p_ϕ are respectively given by

$$\rho_\phi = \frac{1}{2}\dot\phi^2 + V(\phi)\,, \quad p_\phi = \frac{1}{2}\dot\phi^2 - V(\phi)\,, \tag{5}$$

and thus the dark energy Equation of State (EoS) parameter w_ϕ writes as

$$w_\phi \equiv \frac{p_\phi}{\rho_\phi} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\dot\phi^2 - V(\phi)}{\frac{1}{2}\dot\phi^2 + V(\phi)}\,. \tag{6}$$

Since usually we assume $V(\phi) \geq 0$, we find $w_\phi > -1$ and therefore we straightforwardly deduce that the canonical scalar field (3) cannot describe the phantom dark energy where the EoS parameter is less than -1. In these lines one could think of changing by hand the sign of the scalar kinetic term as

$$S=\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left\{\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}R+\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\varphi\partial^\mu\varphi-\tilde{V}(\varphi)\right\}\,, \tag{7}$$

which corresponds to the phantom scalar field [9], since now the EoS parameter w_φ is given by

$$w_\varphi\equiv\frac{p_\varphi}{\rho_\varphi}=\frac{-\frac{1}{2}\dot{\varphi}^2-\tilde{V}(\varphi)}{-\frac{1}{2}\dot{\varphi}^2+\tilde{V}(\varphi)}\,, \tag{8}$$

which is less than -1. However, we should mention that the negative kinetic term and the violation of the Null Energy Condition implies that the energy is unbounded from below at the classical level, while negative norms appear at the quantum level[1] [10]. The negative norm states generate negative probabilities which conflict with the usual interpretation of quantum field theory (for example in [11] the authors reveal the causality and stability problems and the possible spontaneous breakdown of the vacuum into phantoms and conventional particles, arising from the energy negativity). In Appendix A we discuss the difference with the ghost appearance in quantum field theory.

From the above it becomes clear that the consistent generation of the $w_{\rm DE}<-1$ regime must arise from scenarios that go beyond the General-Relativity-based scalar field theory.

III. BRANS-DICKE LIKE MODEL

In this section we briefly show how the phantom regime may arise in a Brans-Dicke-type scenario [12], without the appearance of any ghost degree of freedom. In the following, for convenience, we define the effective (total) EoS parameter $w_{\rm eff}$ as

$$w_{\rm eff}\equiv-1-\frac{2\dot{H}}{3H^2}\,, \tag{9}$$

which proves very useful in scenarios where the separation of the total energy density and pressure into matter and modified gravitational contributions is difficult ($w_{\rm eff}=\Omega_{\rm DE}w_{\rm DE}$ for a universe with dust matter).

The action of the Brans-Dicke type model reads [12]:

$$S=\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,{\rm e}^{\alpha\phi}\left\{R-\frac{\gamma}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi-V(\phi)\right\}\,, \tag{10}$$

with γ the usual Brans-Dicke parameter and α a constant. Therefore, the action in the Einstein frame is given by the scale transformation

$$g_{\mu\nu}={\rm e}^{-\alpha\phi}g_{E\,\mu\nu}\,, \tag{11}$$

and in the following the subscript E denotes the quantities in the Einstein frame. Transforming the action (10) through (11) we result to

$$S=\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int d^dx\sqrt{-g_E}\left\{R_E-\left(\frac{3\alpha^2}{2}+\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)g_E^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi-{\rm e}^{-\frac{2\alpha}{d-2}\phi}V(\phi)\right\}\,. \tag{12}$$

Thus, even if γ is negative, in the case where $\frac{3\alpha^2}{2}+\frac{\gamma}{2}>0$ the effective kinetic energy of ϕ becomes positive, similarly to the usual scalar field, and therefore the ghost does not appear.

Let us provide a specific example, choosing without loss of generality the potential

$$V(\phi)=V_0{\rm e}^{\frac{\phi}{\phi_0}}\,, \tag{13}$$

with constants V_0 and ϕ_0. In this case we find the solution [12]

$$\phi=-2\phi_0\ln\left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)\,,\quad H=-\frac{\left(\alpha^2+\gamma\right)\phi_0+2\alpha}{\alpha^2\phi_0\left(\alpha\phi_0-1\right)t}\,, \tag{14}$$

where t_0 is an integration constant. Then the effective EoS parameter $w_{\rm eff}$ from (9) writes as

$$w_{\rm eff}=-1-\frac{2\alpha^2\phi_0\left(\alpha\phi_0-1\right)}{3\left[\left(\alpha^2+\gamma\right)\phi_0+2\alpha\right]}\,. \tag{15}$$

Thus, $w_{\rm eff}$ can indeed lie in the phantom regime if we choose, for example, $\phi_0>0$, $\alpha\phi_0>1$ and $\gamma>0$[2]. We mention here that the above phantom realization is even more strong than what needed, since not only the dark energy sector is phantom-like ($w_{\rm DE}<-1$), but the total one $w_{\rm eff}$ lies in the phantom regime too.

In summary, the Brans-Dicke type model at hand can generate a phantom universe, without the presence of a ghost degree of freedom. We stress that this behavior is not spoiled at the perturbation level, that is the scenario is free of perturbative instabilities [12].

IV. GAUSS-BONNET GRAVITY WITH A NON-MINIMAL SCALAR FIELD

Another scenario in the context of modified gravity that may lead to the realization of the phantom regime without a ghost, is the scalar-Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity [13, 14], which is motivated by string theory. The starting action writes as

---

[1] In order to define the ground state, the energy of the quantum system is indeed bounded from below, but there always appear negative norm states, which conflicts the requirement of unitarity.

[2] In the case of the phantom realization the present universe corresponds to negative cosmological time t.

$$S=\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left\{\frac{R}{2\kappa^2}-\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi-V(\phi)-\xi(\phi)\mathcal{G}\right\}\,, \tag{16}$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is the Gauss-Bonnet combination and $\xi(\phi)$ a non-minimal coupling function. Variation of the action (16) with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ provides the field equations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}0=&\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\left[-R^{\mu\nu}+\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}R\right]+\frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu\phi\partial^\nu\phi-\frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\rho\phi\partial^\rho\phi\\&-\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}V(\phi)+2\left[\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\xi(\phi)\right]R-2g^{\mu\nu}\left[\nabla^2\xi(\phi)\right]R\\&-4\left[\nabla_\rho\nabla^\mu\xi(\phi)\right]R^{\nu\rho}-4\left[\nabla_\rho\nabla^\nu\xi(\phi)\right]R^{\mu\rho}\\&+4\left[\nabla^2\xi(\phi)\right]R^{\mu\nu}+4g^{\mu\nu}\left[\nabla_\rho\nabla_\sigma\xi(\phi)\right]R^{\rho\sigma}\\&+4\left[\nabla_\rho\nabla_\sigma\xi(\phi)\right]R^{\mu\rho\nu\sigma}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Note that in equation (17) the derivatives of curvature, such as ∇R, do not appear, and therefore derivatives higher than two do not appear either, which is in contrast with a general $\alpha R^2+\beta R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}+\gamma R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ gravity, where fourth derivatives of $g_{\mu\nu}$ appear. Thus, when we treat the system classically, by specifying the values of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\dot{g}_{\mu\nu}$ on a space-like hyper-surface as initial conditions, the time evolution is uniquely determined. This situation is similar to the initial conditions in classical mechanics, in which one only needs to specify the values of position and velocity of the particle. On the other hand, in a general $\alpha R^2+\beta R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}+\gamma R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ gravity, one needs to specify the values of $\ddot{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\dddot{g}_{\mu\nu}$ in addition to those of $g_{\mu\nu}$, $\dot{g}_{\mu\nu}$, in order to obtain a unique time evolution.

As a specific example we consider the string-inspired model [13]

$$V=V_0\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2\phi}{\phi_0}}\,,\quad \xi(\phi)=\xi_0\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\phi}{\phi_0}}\,. \tag{18}$$

Imposing the FRW universe (4) and assuming that the Hubble rate is given by $H=h_0/t$, the metric equation (17) and the scalar field equation derived from (16) give the following algebraic equations:

$$V_0t_1^2=-\frac{1}{\kappa^2\left(1+h_0\right)}\left[3h_0^2\left(1-h_0\right)+\frac{\phi_0^2\kappa^2\left(1-5h_0\right)}{2}\right] \tag{19}$$

$$\frac{48\xi_0h_0^2}{t_1^2}=-\frac{6}{\kappa^2\left(1+h_0\right)}\left(h_0-\frac{\phi_0^2\kappa^2}{2}\right)\,. \tag{20}$$

Since h_0 is determined at will by suitably choosing V_0 and ξ_0, we can obtain a negative h_0, and therefore the effective (total) EoS parameter in (9) becomes less than -1, that is $w_{\mathrm{eff}}=-1+2/(3h_0)<-1$, which corresponds to an effective phantom realization. As a numerical example we may choose $h_0=-80/3$, which gives $w=-1.025$. In this case we find that

$$\begin{aligned}V_0t_1^2&=\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\left(\frac{531200}{231}+\frac{403}{154}\gamma\phi_0^2\kappa^2\right)>0\\\frac{f_0}{t_1^2}&=-\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\left(\frac{9}{49280}+\frac{27}{7884800}\gamma\phi_0^2\kappa^2\right)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

In summary, the scalar-Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity can realize the phantom regime without a ghost. Finally, note that this scenario is free of instabilities at the perturbation level [13].

V. $F(R)$ GRAVITY

In this section, we consider the phantom realization in the context of $F(R)$ gravity (see [15–18] and references therein). In such a modified gravitational theory the scalar curvature R in the Einstein-Hilbert action is replaced by an appropriate function $F(R)$:

$$S_{F(R)}=\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{F(R)}{2\kappa^2}\right]\,. \tag{22}$$

Alternatively, one can formulate $F(R)$ gravity in the scalar-tensor framework. By introducing the auxiliary field A, the action (22) is rewritten as

$$S=\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left\{F'(A)\left(R-A\right)+F(A)\right\}\,. \tag{23}$$

Since variation with respect to A gives $A=R$, substituting $A=R$ into the action (23) reproduces the action (22). On the other hand, rescaling the metric as $g_{\mu\nu}\to\mathrm{e}^{\sigma}g_{\mu\nu}$ with $\sigma=-\ln F'(A)$, the action in the Einstein frame is obtained as follows:

$$S_E=\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[R-\frac{3}{2}g^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\rho\sigma\partial_\sigma\sigma-V(\sigma)\right]\,, \tag{24}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}V(\sigma)=&\mathrm{e}^{\sigma}g\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\sigma}\right)-\mathrm{e}^{2\sigma}F\left(g\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\sigma}\right)\right)\\=&\frac{A}{F'(A)}-\frac{F(A)}{F'(A)^2}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Here the function $g\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\sigma}\right)$ is obtained by solving the equation $\sigma=-\ln F'(A)$ in the form of $A=g\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\sigma}\right)$. From expression (24) we deduce that there does not appear ghost degrees of freedom in $F(R)$ gravity, which is different from the general higher derivative gravity, with the exception of the scalar-Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity of the previous section.

As a specific example we consider the ansatz $F(R)\propto f_0R^m$. In this case the scenario at hand accepts the solution

$$H\sim\frac{-\frac{(m-1)(2m-1)}{m-2}}{t}\,, \tag{26}$$

which inserted into (9) leads to effective EoS parameter

$$w_{\rm eff} = -\frac{6m^2 - 7m - 1}{3(m-1)(2m-1)} \,. \tag{27}$$

Thus, when $m > 2$ or $1 > m > 1/2$, we obtain $w_{\rm eff} < -1$. Compared with the Einstein-Hilbert term, the R^m term dominates if $m > 2$ when the curvature is large and if $1 > m > 1/2$ when the curvature is small. Then the case $m > 2$ might describe the inflation in the early universe and the case $1 > m > 1/2$ might correspond to the accelerated expansion of the present universe.

In summary, the phantom regime can be realized in $F(R)$ gravity without ghost degrees of freedom, and the scenario is free of perturbative instabilities [18].

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Nine-Year WMAP results combined with other cosmological data [1] seem to indicate an enhanced favor for the phantom regime, comparing to previous analyses. This exotic phase cannot be obtained in the Standard Model of the Universe (ΛCDM), or in a General-Relativity-based scalar field theory, and therefore the above observational results suggest to consider the phantom regime more thoroughly. Clearly, easy descriptions such as the consideration of a by-hand negative kinetic energy of the scalar field cannot lead to consistent solutions, since the corresponding scenarios would break down at the quantum level.

Therefore, it seems reasonable that the realization of the phantom regime without the appearance of ghost degrees of freedom would need a form of gravitational modification. In this letter we provided three different scenarios in which this is in principle possible, namely the Brans-Dicke type gravity, the scalar-Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity, and the $F(R)$ gravity. Furthermore, these scenarios are free of instabilities at the perturbation level, which is a necessary condition for their validity and serious consideration (see Appendix B for some comments on this). Obviously, one should proceed further, investigating the corrections to the Newton law, performing the PPN analysis [19] etc, in order to ensure that these scenarios are consistent with the more accurate solar-system and experimental data. Such an analysis could provide additional conditions, in order for the above models to be more realistic (see [18] for general properties of the above constructions).

The increasing favoring of the phantom regime, as long as it will not be reversed in the future combined observational dark-energy constraints, may serve as a strong indication towards gravity modification, in a similar way that the (non-phantom) universe acceleration established the cosmological constant in standard cosmology.

Acknowledgments

This work started at "3rd International Workshop on Dark Matter, Dark Energy and Matter-Antimatter Asymmetry" at NTHU (Hsinchu) and NTU (Taipei) in Taiwan. We are indebted to all the organizers, especially Prof. C.-Q. Geng, for the hospitalities and giving a chance for this collaboration. The authors also wish to thank A. Vikman for useful comments. The work by SN is supported in part by Global COE Program of Nagoya University (G07) provided by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science & Technology and by the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) # 22224003 and (C) # 23540296. ENS thanks the National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Hsinchu, Taiwan for warm hospitality during the preparation of this work. His research is implemented within the framework of the Operational Program "Education and Lifelong Learning" (Action's Beneficiary: General Secretariat for Research and Technology), and is co-financed by the European Social Fund (ESF) and the Greek State.

Appendix A: Ghost and negative norm state in quantum field theory

In classical field theory the energy density of the ghost field is unbounded from below. Then one could say that if any ghost field exists then the vacuum will decay to a pair-creation of the ghost particles. As long as we know, of course, no ghost field has been discovered in nature. The ghost fields, however, appear in unphysical sectors in gauge theory, string theory, etc, when we quantize the system Lorentz-covariantly. In these field theories the energy is surely bounded from below and therefore the vacuum *never* decays. Additionally, apart from of the unbounded energy, the ghost field generates negative norm states. These negative states are combined with the states generated by the unphysical modes, like the longitudinal mode, and eventually only positive and zero norm states appear in the physical space defined by the BRS charge [20]. If the negative norm states could appear in the physical space then negative probability would be generated, which conflicts with the Copenhagen interpretation of the wave functions ψ corresponding to quantum states, where the norm $\psi^\dagger\psi$ of the wave function can be regarded as a probability.

As a simple example, we consider the system composed by the oscillating modes $\{\alpha^\mu_n\}$, $\{c_n\}$, and $\{b_n\}$ of the string coordinates in d dimensions, ghost, and anti-ghost respectively. Here $\mu = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, d-1$ and n is an integer, but we now omit the zero modes corresponding to $n = 0$ since these modes are irrelevant in the arguments here, although they have rich structures in string theories. The hermiticities of these oscillating modes are assigned as follows:

$${\alpha^\mu_n}^\dagger = \alpha^\mu_{-n} \,, \quad c_n^\dagger = c_{-n} \,, \quad b_n^\dagger = b_{-n} \,. \tag{A1}$$

These oscillating modes satisfy the following (anti-) commutation relations:

$$[\alpha_n^\mu,\, \alpha_m^\nu] = n\eta^{\mu\nu}\delta_{0,n+m}\,, \quad \{b_n, c_n\} = n\delta_{0,n+m}\,, \tag{A2}$$

with [,] and { , } denoting commutator and anti-commutator, respectively.

The Hamiltonian H is given by

$$H = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\sum_{\mu=0}^{d-1}\alpha_{-n}^\mu\alpha_n^\mu + b_{-n}c_n + c_{-n}b_n\right\}\,, \tag{A3}$$

and the commutation relations between the Hamiltonian H and the oscillating modes are given by

$$[H, \alpha_n^\mu] = -n\alpha_n^\mu\,, \quad [H, c_n] = -nc_n\,, \quad [H, b_n] = -nb_n\,. \tag{A4}$$

Therefore if we define the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ by

$$\alpha_n\,|0\rangle = c_n\,|0\rangle = b_n\,|0\rangle = 0 \tag{A5}$$

for positive n, the energy in the Fock space given by multiplying the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ with $\{\alpha_n^\mu\}$, $\{c_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$, with negative n is positive semi-definite.

At this point we should mention that there appear negative norm states. Firstly we may assume that the vacuum state has positive norm and that it can be normalized to be unity $\langle 0|0\rangle = 1$. If we consider the following states, for example,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|n, \alpha^0\right\rangle \equiv& \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\alpha_{-n}^0\,|0\rangle \\ |n, b-c\rangle \equiv& \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}}\left(b_{-n} - c_{-n}\right)|0\rangle\,,\end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

then these states have negative norms:

$$\left\langle n, \alpha^0 | n, \alpha^0\right\rangle = \langle n, b-c|n, b-c\rangle = -1\,. \tag{A7}$$

However, note that these negative norm states only appear as a combination of the zero norm states in the physical space defined by the BRS charge [21] (see [22] for the case of superstrings based on Neveu-Schwarz-Ramond Model).

In summary, in the known framework of quantum field theories there can appear negative norm states, but the energy of the system, including ghosts, is positive semi-definite. Thus, the vacuum *never* decays in quantum field theory.

Appendix B: Ghost in higher derivative models

We now briefly show that higher derivative constructions contain ghost in general. As an example we may consider the following model:

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,(\Box\phi)^2\,, \tag{B1}$$

where ϕ is a scalar field and $\Box$ is the d'Alembertian. By introducing an auxiliary field ζ, the action (B1) can be rewritten in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}S =& \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(2\zeta^2 - \zeta\Box\phi\right) \\ =& \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(2\zeta^2 + \partial_\mu\zeta\partial^\mu\phi\right)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{B2}$$

By defining new fields $\varphi_\pm$ by

$$\zeta = \frac{\varphi_+ + \varphi_-}{\sqrt{2}}\,, \quad \phi = \frac{\varphi_+ - \varphi_-}{\sqrt{2}}\,, \tag{B3}$$

the action is further rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\Big\{&\left(\varphi_+ + \varphi_-\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\varphi_+\partial^\mu\varphi_+ \\ &-\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\varphi_-\partial^\mu\varphi_-\Big\}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{B4}$$

which implies that φ_+ is a ghost.

However, in suitably constructed higher derivative scenarios, such is the Galileon one [23], the background equations of motion do not contain higher than second derivatives, and thus ghost do not appear at this level. But this is not a proof that ghosts cannot appear by the canonical formalism (it is a necessary but not sufficient condition), since they can appear at the perturbation level, directly or indirectly (as superluminal propagation). This proves to be the case in Hořava-Lifshitz gravity [24] as well as in non-linear massive gravity [25].

Therefore, we deduce that the complete investigation of the ghost subject is a crucial requirement for the acceptance of a theory, especially if it allows for the phantom realization. We thus stress that not all higher derivative theories lead to the appearance of ghosts, and as we have shown $F(R)$ or Gauss-Bonnet gravity do not contain ghosts at the background or perturbation levels.

---

[1] G. Hinshaw, D. Larson, E. Komatsu, D. N. Spergel, C. L. Bennett, J. Dunkley, M. R. Nolta and M. Halpern *et al.*, arXiv:1212.5226 [astro-ph.CO].

[2] M. Chevallier and D. Polarski, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **10**, 213 (2001) [gr-qc/0009008].

[3] E. V. Linder, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 091301 (2003) [astro-ph/0208512].

[4] E. Komatsu *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], Astrophys. J. Suppl. **192**, 18 (2011) [arXiv:1001.4538 [astro-ph.CO]].

[5] E. Komatsu *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], Astrophys. J. Suppl. **180**, 330 (2009) [arXiv:0803.0547 [astro-ph]].

[6] A. Vikman, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 023515 (2005)

[astro-ph/0407107].
[7] B. Ratra and P. J. E. Peebles, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 3406 (1988).
[8] E. J. Copeland, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **15**, 1753 (2006) [hep-th/0603057].
[9] R. R. Caldwell, Phys. Lett. B **545**, 23 (2002) [arXiv:astro-ph/9908168].
[10] Y. -F. Cai, E. N. Saridakis, M. R. Setare and J. -Q. Xia, Phys. Rept. **493**, 1 (2010) [arXiv:0909.2776 [hep-th]].
[11] J. M. Cline, S. Jeon and G. D. Moore, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 043543 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0311312].
[12] E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 043539 [hep-th/0405034].
[13] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 123509 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0504052].
[14] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and M. Sami, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 046004 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0605039].
[15] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 123512 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0307288].
[16] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, eConf **C0602061**, 06 (2006) [Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **4**, 115 (2007)] [arXiv:hep-th/0601213].
[17] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, arXiv:0807.0685 [hep-th].
[18] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rept. **505** (2011) 59 [arXiv:1011.0544 [gr-qc]].
[19] C. M. Will, Living Rev. Rel. **9**, 3 (2006) [gr-qc/0510072].
[20] T. Kugo and I. Ojima, Prog. Theor. Phys. **60** (1978) 1869.
[21] M. Kato and K. Ogawa, Nucl. Phys. B **212** (1983) 443.
[22] M. Ito, T. Morozumi, S. Nojiri and S. Uehara, Prog. Theor. Phys. **75** (1986) 934.
[23] A. Nicolis, R. Rattazzi and E. Trincherini, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 064036 (2009) [arXiv:0811.2197 [hep-th]].
[24] C. Bogdanos and E. N. Saridakis, Class. Quant. Grav. **27**, 075005 (2010) [arXiv:0907.1636 [hep-th]].
[25] S. Deser and A. Waldron, arXiv:1212.5835 [hep-th].